

Forests could absorb much more carbon, but does it matter?

November 13 2023, by Sara HUSSEIN



A new study finds forests could absorb vastly more carbon with better protection.

Protecting forests globally could vastly increase the amount of carbon they sequester, a new study finds, but given our current emissions track,



does it really matter?

For Thomas Crowther, an author of the assessment, the answer is a resounding yes.

"I absolutely see this study as a cause for hope," the professor at ETH Zurich said.

"I hope that people will see the real potential and value that nature can bring to the climate change topic."

But for others, calculating the hypothetical carbon storage potential of global forests is more an academic exercise than a useful framework for <u>forest management</u>.

"I am a forester by trade, so I really like to see trees grow," said Martin Lukac, professor of ecosystem science at University of Reading.

However, he considers <u>forest</u> carbon potential calculations like these "dangerous," warning they "distract from the main challenge and offer false hope."

Crowther has been here before: in 2019 he produced a study on how many trees the Earth could support, where to plant them and how much carbon they could store.

"Forest restoration is the best climate change solution available today," he argued.

That work caused a firestorm of criticism, with experts unpicking everything from its modeling to the claim that reforestation was the "best" solution available.



Nodding to the furore, Crowther and his colleagues have now vastly expanded their data set and used new modeling approaches for the study published Monday in the journal *Nature*.

They use ground-sourced surveys and data from three models based on <u>high-resolution satellite imagery</u>.

The modeling approach is "as good as it currently gets," acknowledged Lukac, who was not involved in the work.



The study argues vastly more carbon could be stored by forests with minimal effort to protect them.



'Achieve climate targets'

The study estimates forests are storing 328 gigatons of carbon less than they would if untouched by human destruction.

Estimates of the world's remaining carbon "budget" to keep warming below the 1.5C range from around 250-500 gigatons.

Much of the forest potential—139 gigatons—could be captured by just leaving existing forests to reach full maturity, the study says.

Another 87 gigatons could be regained by reconnecting fragmented forests.

The remainder is in areas used for agriculture, pasture or <u>urban</u> <u>infrastructure</u>, which the authors acknowledge is unlikely to be reversed.

Still, they say their findings present a massive opportunity.

"Forest conservation, restoration and sustainable management can help achieve climate targets by mitigating emissions and enhancing <u>carbon</u> <u>sequestration</u>," the study says.

Modeling and mapping the world's forests is a tricky business.

There's the scale of the problem, but also the complexity of what constitutes a forest.

Trees, of course, but the carbon storage potential of a woodland or jungle is also in its soil and the organic matter littering the forest floor.





The study does not account for the effect of forest fires or other climate-linked risks.

Trees versus emissions?

Ground-level surveys can offer granular data, but are difficult to extrapolate.

And satellite imagery covers large swathes of land, but can be confounded by something as simple as the weather, said Nicolas Younes, research fellow at the Australian National University.

"Most of the places where there is potential for carbon storage are



tropical countries... these are places where there is persistent cloud cover, therefore satellite imagery is very hard to validate," he told AFP.

Younes, an expert on forest <u>remote sensing</u>, warns the complexity of the study's datasets and modeling risks introducing errors, though the resulting estimates remain "very valuable".

"It will not show us the exact truth for every pixel on Earth, but it is useful."

One objection to quantifying forest <u>carbon</u> potential is that conditions are far from static, with accelerating climate change, forest fires and pest vulnerability all playing a role.

And, for Lukac, whatever potential forests have is irrelevant to the urgency of cutting emissions.

The study's estimated 328 gigatons "would be wiped (out) in 30 years by current emissions," he said.

Crowther, who advises a project to plant a trillion trees globally, rejects an either-or between forest protection and emissions reduction.

"We urgently need both," he said.

More information: Lidong Mo et al, Integrated global assessment of the natural forest carbon potential, *Nature* (2023). <u>DOI:</u> 10.1038/s41586-023-06723-z

© 2023 AFP

Citation: Forests could absorb much more carbon, but does it matter? (2023, November 13)



retrieved 14 November 2023 from https://phys.org/news/2023-11-forests-absorb-carbon.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.